ANNUAL BUDGET OF MBHASHE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY EC121

2013/14 TO 2015/16 MEDIUM TERM REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE FORECASTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In a municipal strategic planning session it was agreed that the core business for Mbhashe Local Municipality is service delivery. Therefore is important to develop a budget that is informed by the key service delivery priorities as reflected in the IDP to ensure that services provided are sustainably, economically and equitably to all communities and the need to maintain the municipality's financial sustainability.

National treasury circulars No. 51, 54, 55, 58, 66 & 67 were used to guide the preparation and compilation of the 2013/14 Budgets and Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework (MTREF).

The Municipality faced the following significant challenges during the compilation of the 2012/13 MTREF:

- Mbhashe municipality is still grant dependant
- Low revenue collection
- High vacancy rate
- High infrastructure backlog
- Lack of understanding of budgeting principles by various Directors
- Insufficient funding for assets repairs and maintenance.

The budget comprises both the operating and capital budgets as per the requirement of the MFMA. The capital budget consist mainly of Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) funded projects and the Electrification programme in order to address infrastructure backlogs. The operating budget which is by far the largest component of the budget, includes LED projects, Community services projects, Corporate services and Finance projects.

The annual budget as per the Municipal Finance Management Act must be divided into capital and operating budget in accordance with the international best practice. The operating budget consists of:

- Personnel costs 35%
- General expenses 27%
- Repairs & maintenance 5%

There has been a significant drop in the budget for repairs and maintenance. This is caused by the fact that infrastructure services has opted not to budget for road maintenance rather acquire plant and machinery.

The capital budget for 2013/14 is 33%.

OVERVIEW OF THE 2013/14 MTREF

| _ | | | | |
|---|---|---|----|---|
| | а | b | le | 1 |
| | - | ~ | | |

| | CURRENT BUDGET 2012/13 | BUDGET YEAR 2013/14 | % |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| GRANTS | R 188 498 151 | R 206 016 000 | 9% |
| OWN REVENUE | R 19 266 823 | R 21 039 865 | 9% |
| PERSONNEL | R 71 357 784 | R 78 478 223 | 10% |
| REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE | R 15 577 109 | R 12 120 044 | (22)% |
| GENERAL EXPENDITURE | R 58 276 456 | R 61 415 228 | 5% |
| CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS | R 66 275 870 | R 75 042 370 | 13% |
| TOTAL BUDGET | R 211 325 276 | R 227 055 865 | 7% |

The total grants and subsidies have increased by 9% based on the census information and own revenue has increased by 9%. Own revenue is based on the tariffs that the municipality has proposed. Grants include but not limited to:

- Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) R47 m
- Finance Management Grant (FMG) R1,5 m
- Municipal Systems Improvement grant MSIG) R890 k
- Electrification Programme R18 m

The total municipal budget has increased by 7%, see the above table.

BUDGETED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

| Standard Classification Description | Curre | ent Year 20 | 12/13 | | nditure Frame | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| R thousand | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Budget Year 2013/14 | Budget Year +1 2014/15 | |
| Revenue - Standard | | | | | | |
| Governance and administration | 138,095 | 142,039 | 142,039 | 155,904 | 183,359 | 232,568 |
| Executive and council | 7,459 | 7,759 | 7,759 | 8,357 | 10,551 | 10,951 |
| Budget and treasury office | 130,125 | 133,769 | 133,769 | 147,008 | 172,239 | 221,018 |
| Corporate services | 511 | 511 | 511 | 540 | 569 | 599 |
| Community and public safety | 2,444 | 3,160 | 3,336 | 3,631 | 2,720 | 2,867 |
| Community and social services | 151 | 151 | 151 | 859 | 168 | 177 |
| Sport and recreation | 17 | 257 | 257 | 368 | 19 | 20 |
| Public safety | 2,276 | 2,257 | 2,433 | 2,404 | 2,534 | 2,670 |
| Housing | _ | 495 | 495 | _ | _ | _ |
| Health | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Economic and environmental services | 56,724 | 65,419 | 65,419 | 66,960 | 72,841 | 76,983 |
| Planning and development | 924 | 2,410 | 2,410 | 746 | 775 | 808 |
| Road transport | 55,800 | 63,009 | 63,009 | 66,214 | 72,066 | 76,175 |
| Environmental protection | _ | - | - | _ | _ | - |
| Trading services | 531 | 531 | 531 | 561 | 591 | 623 |
| Electricity | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Water | _ | - | - | _ | _ | _ |
| Waste water management | 74 | 74 | 74 | 78 | 82 | 87 |
| Waste management | 457 | 457 | 457 | 482 | 508 | 536 |
| Other | _ | - | - | _ | - | _ |
| Total Revenue - Standard | 197,794 | 211,149 | 211,325 | 227,056 | 259,511 | 313,042 |

The above table shows revenue by standard classification and the one below shows expenditure by standard classification. The 'standard classification' refers to a modified Government Finance Statistics (GFS) reporting structure. The aim of the standard classification approach is to ensure that all municipalities approve a budget in one common format, to facilitate comparison across all municipalities.

| Expenditure - Standard | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Governance and administration | 78,493 | 80,973 | 80,973 | 93,050 | 97,133 | 103,726 |
| Executive and council | 36,524 | 37,874 | 37,874 | 41,448 | 44,075 | 46,892 |
| Budget and treasury office | 27,327 | 28,057 | 28,057 | 32,702 | 32,774 | 35,158 |
| Corporate services | 14,643 | 15,043 | 15,043 | 18,901 | 20,284 | 21,676 |
| Community and public safety | 22,542 | 23,178 | 23,178 | 23,625 | 24,948 | 26,750 |
| Community and social services | 1,204 | 1,204 | 1,204 | 1,905 | 2,077 | 2,265 |
| Sport and recreation | 3,111 | 3,206 | 3,206 | 1,039 | 742 | 798 |
| Public safety | 12,311 | 12,311 | 12,311 | 13,587 | 14,605 | 15,704 |
| Housing | 5,915 | 6,457 | 6,457 | 7,093 | 7,525 | 7,983 |
| Health | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Economic and environmental services | 26,271 | 31,641 | 31,641 | 50,297 | 51,536 | 54,683 |
| Planning and development | 8,926 | 10,616 | 10,616 | 10,695 | 10,607 | 11,281 |
| Road transport | 17,345 | 21,025 | 21,025 | 39,602 | 40,928 | 43,402 |
| Environmental protection | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Trading services | 9,162 | 9,257 | 9,257 | 12,549 | 13,410 | 14,241 |
| Electricity | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Water | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Waste water management | 363 | 363 | 363 | 693 | 742 | 795 |
| Waste management | 8,799 | 8,894 | 8,894 | 11,856 | 12,668 | 13,446 |
| Other | - | - | _ | - | - | _ |
| Total Expenditure - Standard | 136,468 | 145,049 | 145,049 | 179,521 | 187,027 | 199,400 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) for the year | 61,326 | 66,100 | 66,276 | 47,535 | 72,484 | 113,641 |

BUDGETED CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

The table below is a breakdown of the capital programme in relation to capital expenditure by municipal vote; capital expenditure by standard classification; and the funding sources necessary to fund the capital budget. This is inclusive of information on capital transfers from national and provincial departments.

The capital expenditure has increased from R66m in 2012/13 to R75m in 2013/14 which 13%. The bulk of the capital expenditure is funded from transfers by national government. The budget has been funded from the realistically anticipated revenues also taking into account the current year collection levels.

| Vote Description | | Current Year 2012/13 | | | | Expenditure Framework | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| R thousand | Original Budget | Adjusted Budget | Full Year Forecast | Pre-audit outcome | Budget Year 2013/14 | Budget Year +1 2014/15 | Budget Year +2 2015/16 | |
| Conital Evacuations - Standard | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Expenditure - Standard | 2.452 | 2 596 | 2 596 | 2 596 | 4.000 | 2 625 | 0 777 | |
| Governance and administration | 2,453 | 2,586 | 2,586 | 2,586 | 4,066 | 2,635 | 2,777 | |
| | 780 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 500 | - | - | |
| Budget and treasury office | 820 | 803 | 803 | 803 | 1,916 | 949 | 1,000 | |
| Corporate services | 853 | 853 | 853 | 853 | 1,650 | 1,686 | 1,777 | |
| Community and public safety | 2,040 | 3,239 | 3,239 | 3,239 | 2,420 | 2,740 | 2,888 | |
| Community and social services | - | 50 | 50 | 50 | | | | |
| Sport and recreation | - | - | - | - | 0.400 | 0.740 | | |
| Public safety | 2,040 | 3,189 | 3,189 | 3,189 | 2,420 | 2,740 | 2,888 | |
| Housing | - | _ | - | - | | | | |
| Health | - | - | - | _ | | | | |
| Economic and environmental services | 55,334 | 58,931 | 58,931 | 58,931 | 66,026 | 72,644 | 76,72 | |
| Planning and development | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | | | | |
| Road transport | 55,264 | 58,861 | 58,861 | 58,861 | 66,026 | 72,644 | 76,72 | |
| Environmental protection | | - | - | - | | | | |
| Trading services | 1,500 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 2,530 | 2,530 | 2,660 | |
| Electricity | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| Water | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| Waste water management | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| Waste management | 1,500 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | 2,530 | 2,530 | 2,666 | |
| Other | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| Total Capital Expenditure - Standard | 61,326 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 75,042 | 80,549 | 85,056 | |
| Funded by: | | | | | | | | |
| National Government | 61,326 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 75,042 | 80,359 | 84,85 | |
| Provincial Government | | _ | | | | | | |
| District Municipality | | - | | | | | | |
| Other transfers and grants | | - | | | | | | |
| Transfers recognised - capital | 61,326 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 75,042 | 80,359 | 84,857 | |
| Public contributions & donations | | | | | | | | |
| Borrowing | | | | | | | | |
| Internally generated funds | | | | | | | | |
| Total Capital Funding | 61,326 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 66,276 | 75,042 | 80.359 | 84,85 | |

PROJECTS ALLOCATION AS PER IDP PRIORITIES

| Department | Amount |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Executive | R 993 120 |
| Council | R 600 000 |
| OFFICE OF THE Municipal Manager | R1 978 000 |
| BUDGET & TREASURY OOFICE | R 12 873 735 |
| CORPORATE SERVICES | R 1 600 000 |
| Communications | R 285 600 |
| LED | R 6 000 000 |
| Property | R 100 000 |
| Libraries | R 700 000 |
| Traffic | R 3 150 000 |
| SOLID WASTE | R 3 550 000 |
| INFRASTRUCTURE | R74 707 220 |
| Environmental | R 250 000 |

MAYOR'S REPORT

In his State of the Nation Address the President Mr Jacob Zuma outlined the importance of our National Development Plan (NDP) which is the vision of the country for the next 20 years. He said, "the NDP is a roadmap to a South Africa where all will have water, electricity, sanitation, jobs, housing, public transport, adequate nutrition, education, social protection, quality healthcare, recreation and a clean environment".

The NDP contains proposals for tackling the problems of poverty, inequality and unemployment. Mbhashe municipality is not immune to such challenges, in fact, based on the current statistics; our municipality is severely affected by challenges. Our revised Integrated Development Plan (IDP) talks to that.

The Premier of the Eastern Cape Province in the 2013 State of the Province Address has heed the call our President has made in his State of the Nation Address for the immediate and effective implementation of the NDP. This means all of us should follow suit in order to align our priorities with those on national and provincial.

The municipality is also faced with huge infrastructure backlog in most of our wards, which cannot be addressed over a short period of time due to limited resources.

In preparation of our IDP and Budget we were mindful of all the above challenges, including the fact that no single force acting individually can achieve the objectives we have set for ourselves in the NDP. All spheres of government, business and labour need to work together in achieving these goals.

The 2013/14 IDP Review and MTREF Budget in one way or another address some of these challenges, in response to the needs highlighted by our communities. Efficiency and financial discipline will be vital in ensuring the long-term financial sustainability of the institution and that the scarce resources available are channelled to areas of greatest need. This also includes the improvement in spending trends by various directorates.

In our last strategic planning session we all agreed that the core business of the municipality is service delivery. Therefore most of our resources and energies should focus of delivering basic services to our communities.

When reviewing this IDP we were guided by the 5 key performance areas which are as follow:

- The municipal transformation and organisational development
- Basis service delivery and Infrastructure Investment
- The Local Economic Development (LED)
- Municipal financial viability and Management
- Good governance and Public Participation

More than R86m has been allocated for service delivery and infrastructure investment as a way of addressing backlogs in our wards. LED has been allocated

R6m for the 2013/14 and it is encouraged that this KPA needs to play coordinating role with other stakeholders.

In the current financial year the municipality has embarked on massive registration of its indigent households. Currently, we have an indigent register which has been approved by the Council of more than 20 000 households. A sum of R8m has been set aside under KPA 4 (financial viability) to assist the indigent households with basic services.

A sum of R2, 5m has allocated for municipal transformation and organisational development. This will assist in building capacity for both councillors and employees in order to better deliver services.

The council has the following committees which assist in doing the oversight and promoting good governance:

- Audit Committee
- Performance Audit Committee
- Municipal Public Accounts Committee

We have set aside R3, 5m for good governance in order to enhance oversight and accountability. The municipality needs to implement performance management system for all its directors and cascades to the levels below them.

Our view as the EXCO is that the municipality needs to prioritise the following:

- Stability within the council
- Improve stakeholder consultation
- Create favourable environment with labour
- Achieving clean audit by 2014
- Look at ways of enhancing our revenue, including better management of leases
- Enhance the functioning of council committees
- Implement performance management
- Fill all vacant and budgeted positions in the organogram.

Richard Koch in his famous book called, "the 80/20 principle", also known as "Pareto Law", emphasis the secret of achieving more with less. This means as we work towards implementing this IDP with limited resources we must be mindful of this principle in order to make a difference in our communities.

Nelson Mandela once said, "Living isn't just about doing for yourself, but what you do for others as well". Let us go and serve our communities with dignity.

I thank you

OVERVIEW OF THE ALIGNMENT OF ANNUAL BUDGET WITH IDP

A municipal IDP provides a five year strategic programme of action aimed at setting short, medium and long term strategic and budget priorities to create a development platform, which correlates with the term of office of the political incumbents. The plan aligns the resources and the capacity of a municipality to its overall development aims and guides the municipal budget.

The review of the IDP focuses of the infrastructure backlog of the whole Mbhashe area and also the prioritised as identified by the communities in all wards. The budget has been prepared in accordance with the IDP focusing on five national key performance areas, which are:

- Municipal transformation and development
- Service delivery and infrastructure development
- Local economic development
- Financial sustainability and viability
- Good governance and public participation

The abovementioned strategic focus areas informed the preparation of the budget and SDBIP with measurable performance indicators and targets.

After the tabling of the draft budget, a series of meetings was held throughout the municipal area to consult with the public representatives, civil society, business, labour and other government departments on how the budget addresses the IDP priorities and objectives. The feedback flowing from these meetings was referred to a management and EXCO to find a way of attending to all the issues. Some has financial implications and some not.

The plan aligns the resources and the capacity of a municipality to its overall development aims and guides the municipal budget. An IDP is therefore a key instrument which municipalities use to provide vision, leadership and direction to all those that have a role to play in the development of a municipal area. The IDP enables municipalities to make the best use of scarce resources through its budget and speed up service delivery.

IDP is amongst others, an approach to planning aimed at involving the municipality and the community to jointly find the best solutions towards sustainable development. Furthermore, integrated development planning provides a strategic environment for managing and guiding all planning, development and decision making in the municipality. The municipality has also aligned its budget and IDP in line with the National, provincial and district.

| The table below illustrate the link between the IDP and bu | dget as per KPAs: |
|--|-------------------|
|--|-------------------|

| КРА | BUDGET |
|---|-------------|
| Municipal Transformation & Development | R2 593 120 |
| Service Delivery & Infrastructure Development | R86 857 220 |
| Local Economic Development | R6 000 000 |
| Financial Viability | R12 873 735 |
| Good governance | R3 513 600 |

OVERVIEW OF THE ANNUAL BUDGET PROCESS

Section 21 of the MFMA requires the mayor of a municipality to coordinate the processes for preparing the annual budget and reviewing the IDP including budget related policies.

The Budget process started in August 2012 after the approval of IDP/Budget process timetable and strategy to guide the preparation of the 2013/14 to 2015/16 Operating and Capital Budgets. It allows for consultation with stakeholders, such as communities, employees of the municipality, civil society, State departments, business and NGOs.

The consultation took the form of a series of public meetings in the various wards under the direction and leadership of the Mayor and her Executive Committee. Taking the inputs of the aforementioned consultations into account, the Mayor tabled the IDP and Budget for consideration and approval at a Council meeting held on 29 May 2013.

Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations states that the mayor of the municipality must establish a Budget Steering Committee to provide technical assistance to the mayor in discharging the responsibilities set out in section 53 of the MFMA.

Our budget steering committee consists of all EXCO members, the municipal manager, all directors and officials responsible for budget.

The primary aims of the Budget Steering Committee are to ensure:

- That the process followed to compile the budget complies with legislation and good budget practices
- Political input is achieved at the beginning stages of the budget process
- That there is proper alignment between the policy and service delivery priorities set out in the IDP and the budget, taking into account the need to protect the financial sustainability of municipality
- That the municipality's revenue and tariff setting strategies ensure that the cash resources needed to deliver services are available; and
- That the various spending priorities of the different municipal departments are properly evaluated and prioritised in the allocation of resources.

In preparing the 2013/14 budget we were guided by the municipal budget circulars issued by national treasury. Division of Revenue Act figures were used in preparing the budget and as the way of assessing affordability.

Budget templates reflecting the actual figures, forecast expenditure for the year were distributed for population of the line item details, at meetings held with HOD's and the budget office. Departments were also given guidelines funding as well as the expenditure levels.

After all that, the budget was considered by the Budget Technical Team which consists of all directors, section heads of various departments, project managers and

union representatives, where areas of possible cuts were assessed. The main objective of the Budget Task Team is to ensure that the budget is maintained within the affordability levels, taking the IDP deliverables into account and inputs from the consultation process.

IDP AND BUDGET TIMEFRAMES

| | JULY-PRE-PLANNING PHASE | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | RESPONSIB LE PERSON | | | | |
| 1. | Preparation of the process plan | IDP Steering Committee | 13/08/2012 | Task Team | | | | |
| 2. | PMS implementation | PMS Workshop | 23/08/2012 | Task Team | | | | |
| 3. | Adoption of the IDP/Budget process | Council Meeting | 29/08/2012 | MM | | | | |
| 4. | Preparations for Community Based Planning | IDP/ Budget steering committee | 31/08/2012 | Task Team | | | | |
| | SEPTEMBER-PL | ANNING PHASE | | | | | | |
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | | | | | |
| 1. | Advertise Rep Forum | None | 3/09/2012 | Task Team | | | | |
| 2. | Process Plan to be submitted to ADM | | 05/09/2012 | Task Team | | | | |
| 3. | Community Based Planning | Village and Ward meetings | 10-28/09/2012 | EXCO | | | | |
| 4. | Presentation of the adopted process plan to the Rep forum | IDP/Budget Rep Forum | 21/09/2012 | Mayor | | | | |
| | OCTOBER-ANA | | | | | | | |
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | | | | | |
| 1. | Presentation of reports from wards | IDP/Budget steering committee | | EXCO | | | | |
| 2. | PMS Reporting | Meetings | 15-19/10/2012 | MM | | | | |
| 3. | IDP Rep Forum | Meeting | 23/10/2012 | Mayor | | | | |
| 4. | Presentation of budget statement- quarterly report. Conclude first draft budget to | Budget Steering Committee | 24/10/2012 | Mayor | | | | |

| | <i>a</i> : | 1 | | T |
|-------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | confirm priorities | | | |
| | and identify other | | | |
| | financial & non - | | | |
| | financial budget | | | |
| | parameters | | | |
| | including | | | |
| | government | | | |
| | allocations. | | | |
| 5. | Sector plan reports from | IDP steering | 25/10/2012 | Task Team |
| 5. | the head of departments | committee | 23/10/2012 | Task Team |
| | | ALYSIS PHASE | | |
| ITEM. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF | DATE | |
| NO. | ACTIVITIES | THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | Presentation of the | IDP Steering | 07/11/2012 | T/Cluster |
| •• | Situational Analysis to | Committee | 01/11/2012 | Champs |
| | the steering committee | meeting | | Onamps |
| | | meeting | | |
| 2. | Presentation of | Cluster | 13-14/11/2012 | T/Cluster |
| | situational analysis | meetings | | Champs |
| 3. | Identify Municipal | Cluster | 13-14/11/2012 | T/Cluster |
| 0. | Priorities from Ward | Meetings | | Champs |
| | level | Meetings | | Onamps |
| 4. | Presentation on issues | IDP/Budget | 24/11/2012 | Task Team |
| ч. | identified in this phase | Steering | 24/11/2012 | Task Team |
| | | Committee | | |
| 5. | Presentation of | IGR Forum | 27/11/2012 | MM |
| 5. | | | 21/11/2012 | IVIIVI |
| | situational analysis and | | | |
| | Ward priorities to IGR | | | |
| | Forum DECEMBER-STR | | = | |
| ITEM. | | NATURE OF | DATE | |
| NO. | ACTIVITIES | THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | Review objectives, | Clusters, IDP | 03-12/12/2012 | T/Cluster |
| | strategies and programs | Steering | | Champs |
| | as necessary | Committee | | F - |
| 2. | Presenting the | IDP/Budget | 05/12/2012 | P/Cluster |
| | Situational Analysis and | representative | | Champs |
| | Ward priorities to Rep | forum meeting | | |
| | forum | | | |
| 3. | Receiving reports for the | Management | 04/12/2010 | MM |
| | strategic session | Meeting | | |
| | meeting from the HODs | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. | Consider audit | Budget | 05/12/2012 | Mayor |
| •• | report on financial | steering | | |
| | statements & | Committee | | |
| | prepare action | | | |
| | and identify areas | | | |
| | | | | |
| | need to be | | L | |

| r | | | 1 | 1 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | funded. | | | |
| | Presentation of | | | |
| | SALGA upper | | | |
| | limits. | | | |
| 5. | Receive presentations | IDP/Budget | 09/12/2012 | Task Team |
| | on issues identified in | steering | | |
| | this phase | committee | | |
| | JANUARY-STRA | | | |
| ITEM. | | | | |
| NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | PMS reporting (Project | Cluster | 15-16/01/2013 | |
| | progress) | meetings | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. | Final preparations for | IDP steering | 18/01/2013 | Task Team |
| | the Strategic session | Committee | | |
| | | Meeting | | |
| 3. | Presentation of budget | Budget | 21/01/2013 | Mayor |
| . | statement-quarterly | steering | | |
| | | committee | | |
| | report. | committee | | |
| 4 | Approval of Droft Array | Coursell | 20/04/2042 | |
| 4. | Approval of Draft Annual | Council | 30/01/2013 | MM |
| | Report | Meeting | | |
| 5. | Assessment of mid – | Council | 30/01/2013 | MM |
| | year performance report | Meeting | | |
| | financial & non –financial | | | |
| | (sec. 72 of | | | |
| | MFMA)approved by | | | |
| | council | | | |
| | FEBRUARY-STR | ATEGIES PHASE | | |
| ITEM. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF | DATE | |
| NO. | | THE MEETING | | |
| 1. | Considering projects | IGR forum | 18-22/02/2013 | MM |
| 1. | from other sector | meetings | 10-22/02/2013 | |
| | | meetings | | |
| 2 | departments | Ctroto alla | 00.05/00/0040 | |
| 2. | Reviewal of the | Strategic | 20-25/02/2013 | MM |
| | strategies and | session | | |
| | objectives, setting up | meeting | | |
| | new objectives and | | | |
| | strategies based on new | | | |
| | priorities | | | |
| 3. | Presentation of | Budget | 26/02/2013 | Mayor |
| | adjustment | steering | | |
| | budget. | committee | | |
| | • | | | |
| | Consider the | | | |
| | state of nation | | | |
| 1 | addroce to budgot | | | |
| | address to budget | | | |
| | priorities. | | | |
| 4. | priorities. Receive presentations | IDP/ Budget | 28/02/2013 | Task Team |
| 4. | priorities. | IDP/ Budget Steering | 28/02/2013 | Task Team |

| | this phase | Committee | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | MARCH-PROJ | ECTS PHASE | | |
| ITEM. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF | DATE | |
| NO. | | THE MEETING | | |
| 1. | PMS reporting (project | Cluster | 05-06/03/2013 | T/Cluster |
| | progress) | meetings | | Champs |
| 2. | Presentation of | Budget/IDP | 11/03/2013 | Task Team |
| | draft budget/ | steering | | |
| | revised IDP/draft | committee | | |
| | SBIP, draft project lists and | | | |
| | revised sector | | | |
| | plans. | | | |
| | Consideration of | | | |
| | national priorities | | | |
| | by the minister of | | | |
| | finance. | | | |
| 3. | Presentation of draft | Rep Forum | 14/03/2013 | P/Cluster |
| | revised IDP with broad | | | Champs |
| | budget per cluster, draft project lists and revised | | | |
| | sector plan | | | |
| 4. | Presentation and | Council | 21/03/2013 | MM |
| | approval of the draft | Meeting | | |
| | Budget/ IDP/SBIP, | | | |
| | Annual and Oversight | | | |
| | Reports to and by | | | |
| F | Council | Nono | 22/02/2012 | Task Team |
| 5. | Advertising of the IDP, calling for public | None | 22 /03/2013 | Task Team |
| | comments | | | |
| | comments | | | |
| 6. | Submit draft IDP to MEC | | 29/03/2013 | Task Team |
| | and draft budget to | | | |
| | Treasury | | | |
| | | OVAL PHASE | | |
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | Public Comments Phase | IDP/Budget | 01-27/04/2013 | |
| 1. | presentation of the IDP | Roadshows | | |
| | to the | | | |
| | communities | | | |
| 2. | Presentation of budget | Budget | 19/04/2012 | |
| | statement-quarterly | steering | | |
| | report | committee | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| MAY-APPROVAL PHASE | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | Consider the comments from the roadshows | IDP Steering Committee Meeting | 01/05/2013 | Task Team |
| 2. | Presentation of the final draft IDP/Budget/SBIP to steering committee | IDP Steering Committee meeting | 09/05/2013 | Task Team |
| 3. | PMS reporting | Cluster meetings | 13-14/05/2013 | T/Cluster Champs |
| 4. | Presentation of the final draft IDP to IGR | IGR | 16/05/2013 | MM |
| 5. | Presentation of the final draft IDP/Budget to rep forum | IDP representative forum | 22/05/2013 | MM |
| 6. | Approval of the draft final draft IDP/Budget by council | Council Meeting | 29/05/2013 | Mayor |
| 7. | Submission of the draft IDP to MEC and other relevant departments | None | 31/05/2013 | Task Team |
| JUNE-APPROVAL PHASE | | | | |
| ITEM. NO. | ACTIVITIES | NATURE OF THE MEETING | DATE | |
| 1. | Drafting of SDBIP | Cluster meetings | 03-07/06/2013 | T/Cluster Champs |
| 2. | Submit SDBIP within 14 days after approval of the budget | None | 13/06/2013 | MM |
| 3. | Signing of MM and Section 57 Managers Performance Agreements no later than 14 days after approval | None | 12/07/2013 | MM, Mayor |
| 4. | Approval of SDBIP Scorecards within 21 days after budget | None | 26/06/2013 | Mayor |